arXiv:1210.8344v1 [math-ph] 31 Oct 2012

A Mermin–Wagner theorem for quantum Gibbs states on 2D graphs, II

Mark Kelbert
Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, UK
IME, University of São Paulo, Brazil
m.kelbert@swansea.ac.uk

Yurii Suhov
StatsLab, DPMMS, University of Cambridge, UK
IME, University of São Paulo, Brazil
ITP, RAS, Moscow, Russia
yms@statslab.cam.ac.uk

Abstract. This paper is the second in a series of papers considering symmetry properties of bosonic quantum systems over 2D graphs, with continuous spins, in the spirit of the Mermin–Wagner theorem [8]. In the model considered here the phase space of a single spin is $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathrm{L}_2(M)$ where M a $d-$dimensional unit torus $M = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ with a flat metric. The phase space of k spins is $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathrm{L}_2^{\rm sym}(M^k)$, the subspace of $\mathrm{L}_2(M^k)$ formed by functions symmetric under the permutations of the arguments. The Fock space $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus\limits_{k=0,1,\ldots} \mathcal{H}_k$ yields the phase space of a system of a varying (but finite) number of particles.

We associate a space $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{H}(i)$ with each vertex $i \in \Gamma$ of a graph $(\Gamma, \mathcal{E})$ satisfying a special bi-dimensionality property. (Physically, vertex i represents a heavy 'atom' or 'ion' that does not move but attracts a number of 'light' particles.) The kinetic energy part of the Hamiltonian includes (i) $-\Delta/2$, the minus a half of the Laplace operator on M, responsible for the motion of a particle while 'trapped' by a given atom, and (ii) an integral term describing possible 'jumps' where a particle may join another atom. The potential part is an operator of multiplication by a function (the potential energy of a classical configuration) which is a sum of (a) one-body potentials $U^{(1)}(x)$, $x \in M$, describing a field generated by a heavy atom, (b) two-body potentials $U^{(2)}(x, y)$, $x, y \in M$, showing the interaction between pairs of particles belonging to the same atom, and (c) two-body potentials $V(x, y)$, $x, y \in M$, scaled along the graph distance $\mathtt{d}(i, j)$ between vertices

$i, j \in \Gamma$, which gives the interaction between particles belonging to different atoms.

We assume that a compact Lie group $\mathtt{G}$ acts on M, represented by a torus of dimension $d' \leq d$), preserving the metric and the volume in M. Furthermore, we suppose that the potential $U^{(1)}$, $U^{(2)}$ and V are $\mathtt{G}$-invariant. The result of the paper is that any (appropriately defined) Gibbs state generated by the above Hamiltonian is $\mathtt{G}$-invariant. This extends the Mermin–Wagner-type theorems established in [7] for a narrower class of quantum 2D models.

As in [7], the definition of a Gibbs state (and its analysis) is based on the Feynman–Kac representation for the density matrices. (Following [7], we call such a Gibbs state an FK-DLR state, marking a connection with DLR-type equations.)

Key words and phrases: quantum bosonic particle system with jumps, Fock space, symmetry group, the Feynman–Kac representation, bi-dimensional graphs, FK-DLR states, reduced density matrix (RDM), RDM functional, invariance

AMS Subject Classification: 82B10, 60B15, 82B26

1. Introduction

1.1. Basic facts on bi-dimensional graphs. As in [7], we suppose that the graph $(\Gamma,\mathcal{E})$ has been given, with the set of vertices Γ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E}$. The graph has the property that whenever edge $(j',j'')\in\mathcal{E}$, the reversed edge (j'',j') belongs in $\mathcal{E}$ as well. Furthermore, graph $(\Gamma,\mathcal{E})$ is without multiple edges and has a bounded degree, i.e. the number of edges (j,j') with a fixed initial or terminal vertex is uniformly bounded:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup\Big[\max\big(\sharp\,\{j'\in\Gamma:\ (j,j')\in\mathcal{E}\},&\\ \sharp\,\{j'\in\Gamma:\ (j',j)\in\mathcal{E}\}\big):\ j\in\Gamma\Big]&<\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1.1}$$

The bi-dimensionality property is expressed in the bound

$$0<\sup\left[\frac{1}{n}\,\sharp\,\Sigma(j,n):\ j\in\Gamma,\ n=1,2,\ldots\right]<\infty\tag{1.1.2}$$

where $\Sigma(j,n)$ stands for the set of vertices in Γ at the graph distance n from $j\in\Gamma$ (a sphere of radius n about j):

$$\Sigma(j,n)=\{j'\in\Gamma:\ \mathtt{d}(j,j')=n\}.\tag{1.1.3}$$

(The graph distance $\mathtt{d}(j,j')=\mathtt{d}_{\Gamma,\mathcal{E}}(j,j')$ between $j,j'\in\Gamma$ is determined as the minimal length of a path on $(\Gamma,\mathcal{E})$ joining j and j'.) This implies that for any $o\in\Gamma$ the cardinality of the ball

$$\Lambda(o,n)=\{j'\in\Gamma:\ \mathtt{d}(o,j')\leq n\}\tag{1.1.4}$$

grows at most quadratically with n.

1.2. A bosonic model in the Fock space. With each vertex $i\in\Gamma$ we associate a copy of a compact manifold M which we take in this paper to be a unit d-dimensional torus $\mathtt{R}^d/\mathtt{Z}^d$ with a flat metric ρ and the volume v . We also associate with $i\in\Gamma$ a bosonic Fock–Hilbert space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(i)\simeq\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}$. Here $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}=\mathop{\oplus}\limits_{k=0,1,\ldots}\mathcal{H}_k$ where $\mathcal{H}_k=\mathrm{L}_2^{\mathrm{sym}}(M^k)$ is the subspace in $\mathrm{L}_2(M^k)$ formed by functions symmetric under a permutation of the variables. Given a finite set $\Lambda\subset\Gamma$, we set $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)=\mathop{\otimes}\limits_{i\in\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(i)$. An element $\boldsymbol{\phi}\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$ is a complex function

$$\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda\in M^{*\Lambda}\mapsto\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda).$$

Here $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ is a collection $\{\mathbf{x}^*(j), j \in \Lambda\}$ of finite point sets $\mathbf{x}^*(j) \subset M$ associated with sites $j \in \Lambda$. Following [7], we call $\mathbf{x}^*(j)$ a particle configuration at site j (which can be empty) and $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ a particle configuration in, or over, Λ. The space $M^{*\Lambda}$ of particle configurations in Λ can be represented as the Cartesian product $(M^*)^{\times\Lambda}$ where M^* is the disjoint union $\bigcup\limits_{k=0,1,\ldots} M^{(k)}$, $M^{(k)}$ being the collection of (unordered) k-point subsets of M. (One can consider $M^{(k)}$ as the factor of the 'off-diagonal' set $M^k_{\neq}$ in the Cartesian power M^k under the equivalence relation induced by the permutation group of order k.) The norm and the scalar product in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda$ are given by

$$\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\| = \left(\int_{M^{*\Lambda}} |\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \rangle = \int_{M^{*\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\overline{\boldsymbol{\phi}_2(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$$

where measure $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ is the product $\underset{j\in\Lambda}{\times} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*(j)$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*(j)$ is the Poissonian sum-measure on M^*:

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*(j) = \sum_{k=0,1,\ldots} \mathbf{1}(\sharp\, \mathbf{x}^*(j) = k)\frac{1}{k!} \prod_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)} \mathrm{d}v(x) e^{-v(M)}.$$

Here $v(M)$ is the volume of torus M.

As in [7], we assume that an action

$$(\mathtt{g}, x) \in \mathtt{G} \times M \mapsto \mathtt{g}\, x \in M,$$

is given, of a group $\mathtt{G}$ that is a torus of dimension $d' \leq d$. The action is written as

$$\mathtt{g}x = x + \theta A \bmod 1. \qquad (1.2.1)$$

Here vector $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{d'})$ with components $\theta_l \in [0,1)$ and θA is the d-dimensional vector $\underline{\theta} = ((\theta A)_1, \ldots, (\theta A)_d)$ representing the element $\mathtt{g}$, where A is a $(d' \times d)$ matrix of column rank d' with rational entries. The action of $\mathtt{G}$ is lifted to unitary operators $\mathbf{U}_\Lambda(\mathrm{g})$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda$:

$$\mathbf{U}_\Lambda(\mathrm{g})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda) \qquad (1.2.2)$$

where $\mathtt{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \{\mathtt{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*(j), j \in \Lambda\}$ and $\mathtt{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*(j) = \{\mathtt{g}^{-1}x,\ x \in \mathbf{x}^*(j)\}$.

The Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_\Lambda$ of the system in Λ acts as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l}\big(\mathbf{H}_\Lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}\big)(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)=\bigg[-\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\Delta_j^{(x)}+\sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}U^{(1)}(x)\\ \quad+\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x,x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\mathbf{1}(x\neq x')U^{(2)}(x,x')\\ \quad+\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{j,j'\in\Lambda}\mathbf{1}(j\neq j')J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(j')}V(x,x')\bigg]\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\\ \quad+\displaystyle\sum_{j,j'\in\Lambda}\lambda_{j,j'}\mathbf{1}(\#\mathbf{x}^*(j)\geq 1,\ \#\mathbf{x}^*(j')<\kappa)\\ \quad\times\displaystyle\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\int_M v(\mathrm{d}y)\Big[\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\mathbf{x}_\Lambda^{*(j,x)\to(j',y)}\right)-\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\Big].\end{array}\tag{1.2.3}$$

Here $\Delta_j^{(x)}$ means the Laplacian in variable $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)$. Next, $\#\mathbf{x}^*$ stands for the cardinality of the particle configuration $\mathbf{x}^*$ (i.e., $\#\mathbf{x}^*=k$ when $\mathbf{x}^*\in M^{(k)}$) and the parameter κ is introduced in (1.3.4).[1] Further, $\mathbf{x}_\Lambda^{*(j,x)\to(j',y)}$ denotes the particle configuration with the point $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)$ removed and point y added to $\mathbf{x}^*(j')$.

As in [7], we also consider a Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ in an external field generated by a configuration $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}=\{\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j'),\ j'\in\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda\}\in M^{*\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}$ where $\overline{\Gamma}\subseteq\Gamma$ is a (finite or infinite) collection of vertices. More precisely, we only consider $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}$ with $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j') \leq \kappa$ (see Eqn (1.3.4) below) and set

---

[1] Symbol # will be used for denoting the cardinality of a general (finite) set; e.g., $\#\Lambda$ means the number of vertices in Λ.

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\phi}\big)(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda) = \Bigg[&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\Delta_j^{(x)} + \sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)} U^{(1)}(x)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in\Lambda}\sum_{x,x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\mathbf{1}(x\neq x')U^{(2)}(x,x')\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,j'\in\Lambda}\mathbf{1}(j\neq j')J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(j')}V(x,x')\Bigg]\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\\ &+\sum_{j\in\Lambda,j'\in\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j),\overline{x}'\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j')}V(x,\overline{x}')\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\\ &+\sum_{j,j'\in\Lambda}\lambda_{j,j'}\mathbf{1}(\#\mathbf{x}^*(j)\geq 1,\ \#\mathbf{x}^*(j')<\kappa)\\ &\times\sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)}\int_M v(\mathrm{d}y)\Big[\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\mathbf{x}_\Lambda^{*(j,x)\to(j',y)}\right)-\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.4}$$

The novel elements in (1.2.3), (1.2.4) compared with [7] are the presence of on-site potentials $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ and the summand involving transition rates $\lambda_{j,j'} \geq 0$ for jumps of a particle from site j to j'.

We will suppose that $\lambda_{j,j'}$ vanishes if the graph distance $\mathtt{d}(j,j') > 1$. We will also assume uniform boundedness:

$$\sup\,[\lambda_{j,j'}(x,M),\ j,j'\in\Gamma, x\in M] < \infty; \tag{1.2.5}$$

in view of (1.1.1) it implies that the total exit rate $\sum\limits_{j':\mathtt{d}(j,j')=1}\lambda_{j,j'}(x,M)$ from site j is uniformly bounded. These conditions are not sharp and can be liberalized.

1.3. Assumptions on the potentials. The between-sites potential V is assumed to be of class C^2. Consequently, V and its first and second derivatives satisfy uniform bounds. Viz., $\forall\ x', x'' \in M$

$$|V(x',x'')|, |\nabla_{\mathrm{x}}V(x',x'')|, |\nabla_{\mathrm{x},\mathrm{x}'}V(x',x'')| \leq \overline{V}. \tag{1.3.1}$$

Here x and x' run through the pairs of variables x, x'. A similar property is assumed for the on-site potential $U^{(1)}$ (here we need only a C^1-smoothness):

$$|U^{(1)}(x)|, \big|\nabla_x U^{(1)}(x)\big| \leq \overline{U}^{(1)}. \tag{1.3.2}$$

As to $U^{(2)}$, we suppose that (a)

$$U^{(2)}(x,x') = +\infty \ \text{ when } |x-x'| \leq \rho, \tag{1.3.3}$$

and (b) $\exists$ a C^1-function $(x,x') \mapsto \widetilde{U}^{(2)}(x,x') \in \mathtt{R}$ such that $U^{(2)}(x,x') = \widetilde{U}^{(2)}(x,x')$ whenever $\rho(x,x') > \rho$. Here $\rho(x,x')$ stands for the Riemannian distance between $x, x' \in M$. As a result of (1.3.3), there exists a 'hard core' of diameter ρ, and a given atom cannot 'hold' more than

$$\kappa = v(M)/v(B(\rho)) \tag{1.3.4}$$

particles where $v(B(\rho))$ is the volume of a d-dimensional ball of diameter ρ. We will also use the bound

$$|\widetilde{U}^{(2)}(x,x')|, \left|\nabla_{\rm x}\widetilde{U}^{(2)}(x,x')\right| \leq \overline{U}^{(2)}. \tag{1.3.5}$$

Formally, Eqn (1.3.3) means that the operators in (1.2.3) and (1.2.4) are considered for functions $\phi(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)$ vanishing when in the particle configuration $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \{\mathbf{x}^*(j), j \in \Lambda\}$, the cardinality $\#\mathbf{x}^*(j) > \kappa$ for some $j \in \Lambda$.

The function $J: \ r \in (0,\infty) \mapsto J(r) \geq 0$ is assumed monotonically non-increasing with r and obeying the relation $\overline{J}(l) \to 0$ as $l \to \infty$ where

$$\overline{J}(l) = \sup \left[\sum_{j''\in\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j',j''))\mathbf{1}(\mathtt{d}(j',j'') \geq l): \ j' \in \Gamma\right] < \infty. \tag{1.3.6}$$

Additionally, let the interaction potential be such that

$$J^* = \sup \left[\sum_{j'\in\Gamma} J(d(j,j'))d(j,j')^2 : j \in \Gamma\right] < \infty. \tag{1.3.7}$$

Next, we assume that the functions $U^{(1)}$, $U^{(2)}$ and V are $\mathtt{g}$-invariant: $\forall$ $x, x' \in M$ and $\mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}$,

$$\begin{array}{c} U^{(1)}(x) = U^{(1)}(\mathtt{g}x), \\ U^{(2)}(x,x') = U^{(2)}(\mathtt{g}x,\mathtt{g}x'), \\ V(x,x') = V(\mathtt{g}x,\mathtt{g}x'). \end{array} \tag{1.3.8}$$

1.4. The Gibbs state in a finite volume. Define the particle number operator $\mathbf{N}_\Lambda$, with the action

$$\mathbf{N}_\Lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda) = \#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda), \ \ \mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda \in M^{*\Lambda}. \tag{1.4.1}$$

Here, for a given $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \{\mathbf{x}^*(j), j \in \Lambda\}$, $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ stands for the total number of particles in configuration $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$:

$$\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \sum_{j\in\Lambda} \mathbf{x}^*(j). \tag{1.4.2}$$

The standard canonical variable associated with $\mathbf{N}_\Lambda$ is activity $z \in (0, \infty)$.

The Hamiltonians (1.2.3), (1.2.4) are self-adjoint (on the natural domains) in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$. Moreover, they are positive-definite and have a discrete spectrum. Furthermore, $\forall\ z, \beta > 0$, $\mathbf{H}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ give rise to positive-definite trace-class operators $\mathbf{G}_\Lambda = \mathbf{G}_{z,\beta,\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}} = \mathbf{G}_{z,\beta,\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$:

$$\mathbf{G}_\Lambda = z^{\mathbf{N}_\Lambda} \exp\big[-\beta\mathbf{H}_\Lambda\big]\ \ \mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}} = z^{\mathbf{N}_\Lambda} \exp\Big[-\beta\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\Big]. \tag{1.4.3}$$

Definition 1.1. We will call $\mathbf{G}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ the Gibbs operators in volume Λ, for given values of z and β (and – in the case of $\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ – with the boundary condition $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}$).

The Gibbs operators in turn give rise to the Gibbs states $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\beta,z,\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\beta,z,\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$, at temperature β^{-1} and activity z in volume Λ. These are linear positive normalized functionals on the C*-algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}_\Lambda$ of bounded operators in space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda$:

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda}\mathbf{R}_\Lambda\mathbf{A},\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda}\mathbf{R}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{A} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}_\Lambda, \tag{1.4.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_\Lambda = \frac{1}{\Xi(\Lambda)}\mathbf{G}_\Lambda,\ \text{with}\ \Xi(\Lambda) = \Xi_{z,\beta}(\Lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda}\mathbf{G}_\Lambda \tag{1.4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}} &= \tfrac{1}{\Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})}\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\ \ \text{with}\ \ \Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\\ &= \Xi_{z,\beta}(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_\Lambda}\Big(z^{\mathbf{N}_\Lambda}\exp\big[-\beta\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\big]\Big). \quad \diamond\end{aligned} \tag{1.4.6}$$

Here and below we adopt the following notational agreement: symbol $\diamond$ marks the end of a definition, symbol $\lhd$ the end of a statement and symbol $\Box$ the end of a proof.

The hard-core assumption (1.3.3) yields that the quantities $\Xi(\Lambda)$ and $\Xi_{z,\beta}(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ are finite; formally, these facts will be verified by virtue of the Feynman-Kac representation.

Definition 1.2. Whenever $\Lambda^0\subset\Lambda$, the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\Lambda^0}$ is identified with the C*-sub-algebra in $\mathfrak{B}_\Lambda$ formed by the operators of the form $\mathbf{A}_0\otimes\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$. Consequently, the restriction $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ of state $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda$ to C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\Lambda^0}$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{A}_0)=\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\mathbf{A}_0,\ \ \mathbf{A}_0\in\mathfrak{B}_{\Lambda^0}. \tag{1.4.7}$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}=\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{R}_\Lambda. \tag{1.4.8}$$

Operators $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ (we again call them RDMs) are positive-definite and have $\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}=1$. They also satisfy the compatibility property: $\forall\,\Lambda^0\subset\Lambda^1\subset\Lambda$,

$$\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}=\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^1}. \tag{1.4.9}$$

In a similar fashion one defines functionals $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ and operators $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$, with the same properties. $\Diamond$

1.5. Limiting Gibbs states. The concept of a limiting Gibbs state is related to notion of a quasilocal C^*-algebra. For the class of systems under consideration, the construction of the quasilocal C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}_\Gamma$ is done along the same lines as in [7]: $\mathfrak{B}_\Gamma$ is the norm-completion of the $*$-algebra $\big(\mathfrak{B}^0_\Gamma\big)=\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{B}_{\Lambda_n}$. Any family of positive-definite operators $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ in spaces $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}$ of trace one, where Λ^0 runs through finite subsets of Γ, with the compatibility property

$$\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^1}=\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0\setminus\Lambda^1}}\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0},\ \Lambda^1\subset\Lambda^0, \tag{1.5.1}$$

determines a state of $\mathfrak{B}_\Gamma$, see [1].

Finally, we introduce unitary operators $\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathrm{g})$, $\mathrm{g}\in\mathtt{G}$, in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}$:

$$\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{U}_\Lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda^0})=\phi(\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda^0})\ \text{where}\\ \qquad\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda^0}=\{\mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*(j),j\in\Lambda^0\}\ \text{and}\ \mathrm{g}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*(j)=\{\mathrm{g}^{-1}x:\,x\in\mathbf{x}^*(j)\}.\end{array} \tag{1.5.2}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Assuming the conditions listed above, for all* $z, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$ *and a finite* $\Lambda^0 \subset \Gamma$, *operators* $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ *form a compact sequence in the trace-norm topology in* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}$ *as* $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$. *Furthermore, given any family of (finite or infinite) sets* $\overline{\Gamma} = \overline{\Gamma}(\Lambda) \subseteq \Gamma$ *and configurations* $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda} = \{\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i), i \in \overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Lambda\}$ *with* $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i) < \kappa$, *operators* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ *also form a compact sequence in the trace-norm topology. Any limit point,* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$, *for* $\left\{\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\right\}$ *or* $\left\{\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}\right\}$ *as* $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$, *is a positive- definite operator in* $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda^0)$ *of trace one. Moreover, if* $\Lambda^1 \subset \Lambda^0$ *and* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ *and* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^1}$ *are the limits for* $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ *and* $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^1}$ *or for* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ *and* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^1}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ *along the same subsequence* $\Lambda_s \nearrow \Gamma$ *then the propety* (1.5.1) *holds true.*

Consequently, the Gibbs states $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ *form compact sequences as* $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$.$\lhd$

Definition 1.3. Any limit point $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ for states $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ is called a limiting Gibbs state (for given $z, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$).

Theorem 1.2. *Any limiting point,* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$, *for* $\left\{\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\right\}$ *or* $\left\{\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}\right\}$, *as* $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$, *is a positive- definite operator of trace one commuting with operators* $\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathtt{g})$: $\forall\ \mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}$,

$$\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathtt{g})^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathtt{g}) = \mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}. \tag{1.5.3}$$

Accordingly, any limiting Gibbs state $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ *of* $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}$ *determined by a family of limiting operators* $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ *obeying* (1.5.3) *satisfies the corresponding invariance property:* $\forall$ *finite* $\Lambda^0 \subset \Gamma$, *any* $\mathbf{A} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{B}}_{\Lambda^0}$ *and* $\mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{A}) = \varphi(\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathtt{g})^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathtt{g})).\lhd \tag{1.5.4}$$

Remark 1.1. Properties (1.5.3) and (1.5.4) are trivially fulfilled for the limiting points $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ of families $\{\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda\}$. However, they require a proof for the limit points of the families $\{\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\}$.

The set of limiting Gibbs states (which is non-empty due to Theorem 1.1), is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^0$. In the Section 3 we describe a class $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}} \supset \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ of states of C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfying the FK-DLR equation, similar to that in [7].

2. Feynman-Kac representations for the RDM kernels in a finite volume

2.1. The representation for the kernels of the Gibbs operators.

A starting point for the forthcoming analysis is the Feynman–Kac (FK) representation for the kernels $\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)=\mathbf{K}_{\beta,z,\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)=\mathbf{F}_{\beta,z,\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)$ of operators $\mathbf{G}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda$.

Definition 2.1. Given $(x,i),(y,j)\in M\times\Gamma$, $\overline{W}^\beta_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ denotes the space of path, or trajectories, $\overline{\omega}=\overline{\omega}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ in $M\times\Gamma$, of time-length β, with the end-points (x,i) and (y,j). Formally, $\overline{\omega}\in\overline{W}^\beta_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}\overline{\omega}:\ \tau\in[0,\beta]\mapsto\overline{\omega}(\tau)=\big(u\big(\overline{\omega},\tau\big),l\big(\overline{\omega},\tau\big)\big)\in M\times\Gamma,\\ \overline{\omega}\ \text{ is cádlág; }\ \overline{\omega}(0)=(x,i),\overline{\omega}(\beta-)=(y,j),\\ \overline{\omega}\ \text{ has finitely many jumps on }[0,\beta];\\ \text{if a jump occurs at time }\tau\text{ then }\mathtt{d}\left[l\big(\overline{\omega},\tau-\big),l\big(\overline{\omega},\tau\big)\right]=1.\end{array}\tag{2.1.1}$$

The notation $\overline{\omega}(\tau)$ and its alternative, $\big(u\big(\overline{\omega},\tau\big),l\big(\overline{\omega},\tau\big)\big)$, for the position and the index of trajectory $\overline{\omega}$ at time τ will be employed as equal in rights. We use the term the temporal section (or simply the section) of path $\overline{\omega}$ at time τ. $\diamondsuit$

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{x}^*(i),i\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{y}^*(j),j\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ be particle configurations over Λ, with $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$. A matching (or pairing) γ between $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$ is defined as a collection of pairs $[(x,i),(y,j)]_\gamma$, with $i,j\in\Lambda$, $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$ and $y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)$, with the properties that (i) $\forall\ i\in\Lambda$ and $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$ there exist unique $j\in\Lambda$ and $y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)$ such that (x,i) and (y,j) form a pair, and (ii) $\forall\ j\in\Lambda$ and $y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)$ there exist unique $i\in\Lambda$ and $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$ such that (x,i) and (y,j) form a pair. (Owing to the condition $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$, these properties are equivalent.) It is convenient to write $[(x,i),(y,j)]_\gamma=[(x,i),\gamma(x,i)]$.

Next, consider the Cartesian product

$$\overline{W}^\beta_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}=\mathop{\times}_{i\in\Lambda}\ \mathop{\times}_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\overline{W}^\beta_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)}\tag{2.1.2}$$

and the disjoint union

$$\overline{W}^\beta_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}=\bigcup_\gamma\overline{W}^\beta_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}.\tag{2.1.3}$$

Accordingly, an element $\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda \in \overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$ in (2.1.2) represents a collection of paths $\overline{\omega}_{x,i}$, $x \in \mathbf{x}^*(i)$, $i \in \Lambda$, of time-length β, starting at (x,i) and ending up at $\gamma(x,i)$. We will say that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda$ is a path configuration in (or over) Λ. $\diamond$

The presence of matchings in the above construction is a feature of the bosonic nature of the systems under consideration.

We will work with standard sigma-algebras (generated by cylinder sets) in $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$, $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$ and $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}$.

Definition 2.3. In what follows, $\xi(\tau)$, $\tau \geq 0$, stands for the Markov process on $M \times \Gamma$, with cádlág trajectories, determind by the generator $\mathcal{L}$ acting on a function $(x,i) \in M \times \Lambda \mapsto \psi(x,i)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(x,i) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi(x,i) + \sum_{j:\mathtt{d}(i,j)=1} \lambda_{i,j} \int_M v(\mathrm{d}y)\big[\psi(y,j) - \psi(x,i)\big]. \quad (2.1.4)$$

In the probabilistic literature, such processes are referred to as Lévy processes; cf., e.g., [14].

Pictorially, a trajectory of process ξ moves along M according to the Brownian motion with the generator $-\Delta/2$ and changes the index $i \in \Gamma$ from time to time in accordance with jumps occurring in a Poisson process of rate $\sum\limits_{j:\mathtt{d}(i.j)=1} \lambda_{i.j}$. In other words, while following a Brownian motion rule on M, having index $i \in \Gamma$ and being at point $x \in M$, the moving particle experiences an urge to jump from i to a neighboring vertex j and to a point y at rate $\lambda_{i,j} v(\mathrm{d}y)$. After a jump, the particle continues the Brownian motion on M from y and keeps its new index j until the next jump, and so on.

For a given pair $(x,i),(y,j) \in M \times \Gamma$, we denote by $\mathtt{P}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ the non-normalised measure on $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ induced by ξ. That is, under measure $\mathtt{P}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ the trajectory at time $\tau = 0$ starts from the point x and has the initial index i while at time $\tau = \beta$ it is at the point y and has the index j.

The value $\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)} = \mathtt{P}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}\left(\overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}\right)$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{l}\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)} = \mathbf{1}(i=j)p^{\beta}_{M}(x,y)\exp\left[-\beta\sum\limits_{\widetilde{j}:\mathtt{d}(i,\widetilde{j})=1}\lambda_{i,\widetilde{j}}\right]\\ \quad+\sum\limits_{k\geq 1}\ \sum\limits_{l_0=i,l_1,\dots,l_k,l_{k+1}=j}\ \prod\limits_{0\leq s\leq k}\mathbf{1}(\mathtt{d}(l_s,l_{s+1})=1)\\ \quad\times\lambda_{l_s,l_{s+1}}\int\limits_0^{\beta}\mathrm{d}\tau_s\exp\left[-(\tau_{s+1}-\tau_s)\sum\limits_{\widetilde{j}:\mathtt{d}(l_s,\widetilde{j})=1}\lambda_{l_s,\widetilde{j}}\right]\\ \quad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(0=\tau_0<\tau_1<\ldots<\tau_k<\tau_{k+1}=\beta\Big)\end{array}\qquad(2.1.5)$$

where $p^{\beta}_{M}(x,y)$ denotes the transition probability density for the Brownian motion to pass from x to y on M in time β:

$$p^{\beta}_{M}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{d/2}}\sum_{\underline{n}=(n_1,\dots,n_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\exp\big(-|x-y+\underline{n}|^2/2\beta\big),\qquad(2.1.6)$$

In view of (1.2.6), the quantity $\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ and its derivatives are uniformly bounded:

$$\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)},\left|\nabla_x\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)}\right|,\left|\nabla_y\widehat{p}_{(x,i),(y,j)}\right|\leq\widehat{p}_M,\ x,y\in M, i,j\in\Gamma,\qquad(2.1.7)$$

where $\widehat{p}_M=\widehat{p}_M(\beta)\in(0,+\infty)$ is a constant. $\diamond$

We suggest a name 'non-normalised Brownian bridge with jumps' for the measure but expect that a better name will be proposed in future.

Definition 2.4. Suppose that $\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda}=\{\mathbf{x}^*(i), i\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}=\{\mathbf{y}^*(j), \mathtt{J}\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ are particle configurations over Λ, with $\#\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda}=\#\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}$. Let γ be a pairing between $\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}$. Then $\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda},\gamma}$ denotes the product-measure on $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda},\gamma}$:

$$\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda},\gamma}=\mathop{\times}_{i\in\Lambda}\ \mathop{\times}_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{\beta}_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)}.\qquad(2.1.8)$$

Furthermore, $\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}}$ stands for the sum-measure on $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}}$:

$$\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda}}=\sum_{\gamma}\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda},\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda},\gamma}.\qquad\diamond\qquad(2.1.9)$$

According to Definition 2.4, under the measure $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$, the trajectories $\overline{\omega}_{x,i} \in \overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)}$ constituting $\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda$ are independent components. (We employ the term independence in the measure-theoretical sense here.)

As in [7], we will work with functionals on $\overline{W}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$ representing integrals along trajectories. The first such functional, $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda) = &\sum_{i\in\Lambda}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)} \mathbf{h}^{x,i}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(i,i')\in\Lambda\times\Lambda}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(i')} \mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i},\overline{\omega}_{x',i'}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.10}$$

Here, introducing the notation $u_{x,i}(\tau) = u(\overline{\omega}_{x,i},\tau)$ and $u_{x',i'}(\tau) = u(\overline{\omega}_{x',i'},\tau)$ for the positions in M of paths $\overline{\omega}_{x,i} \in \overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)}$ and $\overline{\omega}_{x',i'} \in \overline{W}^{\beta}_{(x',i'),\gamma(x',i')}$ at time τ, we define:

$$\mathbf{h}^{x,i}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i}) = \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\; U^{(1)}\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau)\Big). \tag{2.1.11}$$

Next, with $l_{x,i}(\tau)$ and $l_{x',i'}(\tau)$ standing for the indices of $\overline{\omega}_{x,i}$ and $\overline{\omega}_{x',i'}$ at time τ,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i},\overline{\omega}_{x',i'})\\ &\quad=\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\,\Bigg[\sum_{j'\in\Gamma} U^{(2)}\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau),u_{i',x'}(\tau)\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau)=j'=l_{x',i'}(\tau)\Big)\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(j',j'')\in\Gamma\times\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j',j''))\\ &\qquad\times V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau),u_{i',x'}(\tau)\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau))=j'\neq j''=l_{x',i'}(\tau)\Big)\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.12}$$

Next, consider the functional $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$: for $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda} = \{\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j),\ j\in\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda\}$. As before, we assume that $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j)\leq\kappa$. Define:

$$\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}) = \mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda) + \mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}). \tag{2.1.13}$$

Here $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)$ is as in (2.1.10) and

$$\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}) = \sum_{(i,i')\in\Lambda\times(\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda)}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),\overline{x}'\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i')} \mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i')) \tag{2.1.14}$$

where, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\overline{\omega}_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i'))\\&\quad=\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Big[U^{(2)}\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau),\overline{x}'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau)=i'\Big)\\&\qquad+\sum_{j\in\Gamma:j\neq i'}J(\mathsf{d}(j,i'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau),\overline{x}'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau))=j\Big)\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{2.1.15}$$

The functionals $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ are interpreted as energies of path configurations. Cf. Eqns (2.4), (2.5) in [7].

Finally, we introduce the indicator functional $\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)$:

$$\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if index } l_{x,i}(\tau)\in\Lambda,\ \forall\,\tau\in[0,\beta],\\ & i\in\Lambda \ \text{ and } \ x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{2.1.16}$$

It can be derived from known results [10], [15] (for a direct argument, see [5]) that the following assertion holds true:

Lemma 2.1. *The Gibbs operators $\mathbf{G}_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ act as integral operators in $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda)$:*

$$\Big(\mathbf{G}_\Lambda\boldsymbol{\phi}\Big)(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)=\int_{M^{*\Lambda}}\prod_{j\in\Lambda}\prod_{y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)}v(\mathrm{d}y)\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)\tag{2.1.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\phi}\Big)(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda)\\&\qquad=\int_{M^{*\Lambda}}\prod_{j\in\Lambda}\prod_{y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)}v(\mathrm{d}y)\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda).\end{aligned}\tag{2.1.18}$$

Moreover, the integral kernels $\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ vanish if $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda\neq\#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$. On the other hand, when $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$, the kernels $\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ admit the following representations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda)&=z^{\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}\int_{\overline{W}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}}\overline{\mathsf{P}}^\beta_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)\\&\quad\times\exp\big[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)\big],\end{aligned}\tag{2.1.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{K}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}) = z^{\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}&\int_{\overline{W}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}}\overline{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)\\ \times\exp\,\big[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\big].&\end{aligned}\tag{2.1.20}$$

The ingredients of these representations are determined in (2.1.10)–(2.1.15). $\lhd$

Remark 2.1. We want to stress that, owing to (1.3.3), (1.3.4), a non-zero contribution to the integral in the RHS of (2.1.19) can only come from a path configuration $\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda = \{\overline{\omega}_{x,i}\}$ such that $\forall\ \tau\in[0,\beta]$ and $\forall\ j\in\Gamma$, the number of paths $\overline{\omega}_{x,i}$ with index $l_{x,i}(\tau)=j$ is less than or equal to κ. Likewise, the integral in the RHS of (2.1.20) receives a non-zero contribution only come from configurations $\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda = \{\overline{\omega}^*_{x,i}\}$ for which, $\forall\ j\in\Gamma$, the number of paths $\overline{\omega}_{x,i}$ with index $l_{x,i}(\tau)=j$ plus the cardinality $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j)$ does not exceed κ.

2.2. The representation for the partition function. The FK-representation of the partition functions $\Xi(\Lambda)=\Xi_{\beta,z}(\Lambda)$ in (1.4.5) and $\Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ in (1.4.6) reflect a specific character of the traces tr $\mathbf{G}_\Lambda$ and tr $\mathbf{G}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda)$. The source of a complication here is the jump terms in the Hamiltonians H_Λ and $H_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ in Eqns (1.2.3) and (1.2.4), respectively. In particular, we will have to pass from trajectories of fixed time-length β to loops of a variable time-length. To this end, a given matching γ is decomposed into a product of cycles, and the trajectories associated with a given cycle are merged into closed paths (loops) of a time-length multiple of β. (A similar construction has been performed in [5].)

To simplify the notation, we omit, wherever possible, the index β.

Definition 2.5. For given $(x,i),(y,j)\in M\times\Gamma$, the symbol $\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ denotes the disjoint union:

$$\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)} = \bigcup_{k=0,1,\ldots}\overline{W}^{k\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}\tag{2.2.1}$$

In other words, $\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ is the space of paths $\overline{\Omega}^* = \overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ in $M\times\Gamma$, of a variable time-length $k\beta$, where $k=k(\overline{\Omega}^*)$ takes values $1,2,\ldots$ and called the length multiplicity, with the end-points (x,i) and (y,j). The formal definition follows the same line as in Eqn (2.1.1), and we again use the notation $\overline{\Omega}^*(\tau)$ and the notation $\Big(u\big(\overline{\Omega}^*,\tau\big), l\big(\overline{\Omega}^*,\tau\big)\Big)$ for the pair of the position and the index of path $\overline{\Omega}^*$ at time τ. Next, we call the particle configuration $\{\overline{\Omega}^*(\tau+\beta m), 0\leq m< k(\overline{\Omega}^*)\}$ the temporal section (or simply the section) of $\overline{\Omega}^*$ at time $\tau\in[0,\beta]$. We also call $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}\in\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ a long path (from (x,i) to (y,j)).

A particular role will be played by closed paths (loops), with coinciding endpoints (where $(x,i)=(y,j)$). Accordingly, we denote by $W^*_{x,i}$ the set $\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(x,i)}$. An element of $W^*_{x,i}$ is denoted by $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ or, in short, by Ω^* and called a long loop at vertex i. The length multiplicity of a long loop $\Omega^*_{x,i}\in W^*_{x,i}$ is denoted by $k(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{x,i})$ or $k_{x,i}$. It is instructive to note that, as topological object, a given long loop $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*$ admits a multiple choice of the initial pair (x,i): it can be represented by any pair $\big(u\big(\Omega^*,\tau\big), l\big(\Omega^*,\tau\big)\big)$ at a time $\tau=l\beta$ where $l=1,\ldots,k(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*)$. As above, we use the term the temporal section at time $\tau\in[0,\beta]$ for the particle configuration $\{\Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m), 0\leq m<k_{x,i}\}$ and employ the alternative notation $(u(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*), l(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*))$ addressing the position and the index of Ω^* at time $\tau+\beta m\in[0,\beta k(\Omega^*)]$. $\diamond$

Definition 2.6. Suppose $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{x}^*(i), i\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{y}^*(j), \jmath\in\Lambda\}\in M^{*\Lambda}$ are particle configurations over Λ, with $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$. Let γ be a matching between $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$. We consider the Cartesian product

$$\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}=\mathop{\times}\limits_{i\in\Lambda}\ \mathop{\times}\limits_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)}\tag{2.2.2}$$

and the disjoint union

$$\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}=\bigcup_{\gamma}\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}.\tag{2.2.3}$$

Accordingly, an element $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^*_\Lambda\in\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$ in (2.2.3) represents a collection of paths $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^*_{x,i}$, $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$, $i\in\Lambda$, of time-length β, starting at (x,i) and ending up at $(y,j)=\gamma(x,i)$. We will say that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^*_\Lambda\in\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}$ is a long-path configuration in (or over) Λ.

Again, long loops will have a special role and deserve a particular notation. Namely, $W^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}$ denotes the Cartesian product:

$$W^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}=\mathop{\times}\limits_{i\in\Lambda}\ \mathop{\times}\limits_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}W^*_{x,i}\tag{2.2.4}$$

and W^*_Λ stands for the disjoint union (or equivalently, the Cartesian power):

$$W^*_\Lambda = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda \in M^{*\Lambda}} W^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda} = \mathop{\times}_{i\in\Lambda} W^*_{\{i\}} \text{ where } W^*_{\{i\}} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x}^*\in M^*} \left(\mathop{\times}_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*} W^*_{x,i} \right). \tag{2.2.5}$$

An element $\mathbf{\Omega}^* = \mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda \in W^*_\Lambda$ is called a long-loop configuration over Λ and is a collection $\{\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i), i \in \Lambda\}$ of long-loop configurations at vertices $i \in \Lambda$ starting and ending up at particle configurations $\mathbf{x}^*(i) \in M^*$ (note that some of the $\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i)$'s may be empty). The temporal section (or, in short, the section), $\mathbf{\Omega}^*(\tau)$, of $\mathbf{\Omega}^*$ at time τ is defined as the particle configuration formed by the points $\Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau + \beta m)$ where $i \in \Lambda$, $x \in \mathbf{x}^*(i)$ and $0 \leq m < k_{x,i}$. $\diamond$

As before, we consider the standard sigma-algebras of subsets in the spaces $\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$, $W_{x,i}$, $\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$, $\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}$, $W^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}$ and W^*_Λ introduced in Definitions 2.5 and 2.6. In particular, the sigma-algebra of subsets in W^*_Λ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{W}_\Lambda$; we comment on some of its specific properties in Section 3.1. (We also will consider an infinite-volume version W^*_Γ of W^*_Λ in Section 3.2 and after.)

Definition 2.7. Given points $(x,i),(y,j) \in M \times \Gamma$, we denote by $\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ the sum-measure on $\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$:

$$\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)} = \sum_{k=0,1,\ldots} \overline{\mathtt{P}}^{k\beta}_{(x,i),(y,j)}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Further, $\mathtt{P}^*_{x,i}$ denotes the similar measure on $W^*_{x,i}$:

$$\mathtt{P}^*_{x,i} = \sum_{k=0,1,\ldots} \mathtt{P}^{k\beta}_{x,i}. \quad \diamond \tag{2.2.7}$$

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \{\mathbf{x}^*(i), i \in \Lambda\} \in M^{*\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda = \{\mathbf{y}^*(j), j \in \Lambda\} \in M^{*\Lambda}$ be particle configurations over Λ, with $\#\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda = \#\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$. Let γ be a matching between $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda$, we define the product-measure $\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}$:

$$\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma} = \mathop{\times}_{i\in\Lambda} \mathop{\times}_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)} \overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{(x,i),\gamma(x,i)} \tag{2.2.8}$$

and the sum-measure

$$\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda}=\sum_\gamma\,\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda,\mathbf{y}^*_\Lambda,\gamma}. \tag{2.2.9}$$

Next, symbol $\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}$ stands for the product-measure on $W^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}$:

$$\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}=\mathop{\times}_{i\in\Lambda}\ \mathop{\times}_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\mathtt{P}^*_{x,i}. \tag{2.2.10}$$

Finally, $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda$ yields the measure on W^*_Λ:

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda=\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda\times\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda). \tag{2.2.11}$$

Here, for $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{x}^*(i),\ i\in\Lambda\}$, we set: $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\prod\limits_{i\in\Lambda}\prod\limits_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}v(\mathrm{d}x)$. For sites i with $\mathbf{x}^*(i)=\emptyset$, the corresponding factors are trivial measures sitting on the empty configurations. $\diamondsuit$

We again need to introduce energy-type functionals represented by integrals along long loops. More precisely, we define the functionals $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ which are modifications of the above functionals $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$; cf. (2.1.10) and (2.1.14). More precisely, for a long-loop configuration $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda=\{\Omega^*_{x,i}\}$ over Λ with an initial and final particle configuration $\mathbf{x}^*_\Lambda=\{\mathbf{x}^*(i),\ i\in\Lambda\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)=&\sum_{i\in\Lambda}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\mathbf{h}^{x,i}(\Omega^*_{x,i})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(i,i')\in\Lambda\times\Lambda}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(i')}\mathbf{1}((x,i)\neq(x',i'))\,\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},\Omega^*_{x',i'}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.12}$$

To determine the functionals $\mathbf{h}^{x,i}(\Omega^*_{x,i})$ and $\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},\Omega^*_{x',i'})$, we set, for given $m=0,1,\ldots,k_{x,i}-1$ and $m'=0,1,\ldots,k_{x',i'}-1$:

$$\begin{gathered}u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)=u(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*_{x,i}),\ l_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)=l(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*_{x,i}),\\ u_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')=u(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}),\ \ l_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')=l(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}).\end{gathered}$$

Here we employ a (slightly) shortened notation $l_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)$ for the index $l(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*_{x,i})$ and $u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)$ for the position $u(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*_{x,i})$ for $\Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau)\in M\times\Gamma$, of the section $\Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau)$ of the long loop $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ at time τ, and simiarly with $l_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')$ and $u_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')$. (Note that the pairs (x,i) and (x',i') may coincide.) Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}^{(x,i)}(\Omega^*_{x,i}) = \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau \Bigg[&\sum_{0\leq m<k_{x,i}} U^{(1)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)\Big)\\ + \sum_{0\leq m<m'<k_{x,i}}\ &\sum_{j\in\Gamma}\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j=l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ \times &U^{(2)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m),u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},\Omega^*_{x',i'})\\ &= \sum_{0\leq m<k_{x,i}}\ \sum_{0\leq m'<k_{x',i'}} \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Bigg[\sum_{j\in\Gamma} U^{(2)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m),u_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ &\qquad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ &\qquad+\sum_{(j,j')\in\Gamma\times\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m),u_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ &\qquad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j\neq j'=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.14}$$

Next, the functional $B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ takes into account the bosonic character of the model:

$$B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)=\prod_{i\in\Lambda}\prod_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}\frac{z^{k_{x,i}}}{k_{x,i}}. \tag{2.2.15}$$

The factor $k^{-1}_{x,i}$ in (2.2.15) reflects the fact that the starting point of a long loop $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ may be selected among points $u(\beta m,\ \Omega^*_{x,i})$ arbitrarily.

Farther, we are going to define the functional $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$: for $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}=\{\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j),\ j\in\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda\}$, again assuming that $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j)\leq\kappa$. Set:

$$\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})=\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)+\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}). \tag{2.2.16}$$

Here $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ is as in (2.2.12) and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\\ &\qquad=\sum_{(i,i')\in\Lambda\times(\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda)}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),\overline{x}'\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i')}\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i'))\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.17}$$

where, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i'))\\ &\quad=\sum_{0\leq m<k_{x,i}}\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Big[U^{(2)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m),x'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=i'\Big)\\ &\qquad+\sum_{j\in\Gamma}J(\mathtt{d}(j,i'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m),x'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j\Big)\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{2.2.18}$$

As before, the functionals $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ have a natural interpretation as energies of long-loop configurations.

Finally, as before, the functional $\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ is the indicator that the collection of long loops $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda=\{\Omega^*_{x,i}\}$ does not quit Λ:

$$\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } \ \Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau)\in M\times\Lambda,\ \forall\, i\in\Lambda,\ x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)\\ & \text{and } \ 0\leq\tau\leq\beta k_{x,i},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{2.2.19}$$

Like above, we invoke known results [10], [15] to establish the following statement (again a direct argument can be found in [5]):

Lemma 2.2. *The partition functions* $\Xi(\Lambda)$ *in* (1.4.5) *and* $\Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ *in* (1.4.6) *admit the representations*

$$\Xi(\Lambda)=\int_{W^*_\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\exp\ \left[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\right]\tag{2.2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\\ &\quad=\int_{W^*_\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\exp\ \left[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}*_\Lambda\,|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\right]\end{aligned}\tag{2.2.21}$$

with ingredients introduced in (2.2.5)–(2.2.19).$\lhd$

Again, we emphasise that the non-zero contribution to the integral in Eqn (2.2.20) can only come from long-loop configurations $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda=\{\Omega^*_{x,i},i\in\Lambda,x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),i\in\Lambda\}$ such that $\forall$ vertex $j\in\Lambda$ and $\tau\in[0,\beta]$, the total number of pairs $(u_{x,i}(\tau+m\beta), l_{x,i}(\tau+m\beta))$ with $0 \leq m < k_{x,i}$, and $l(\tau+m\beta)=j$ does not exceed κ.

Remark 2.2. The integrals in (2.2.20) and (2.2.21) represent examples of partition functions which will be encountered in the forthcoming sections. See Eqns (2.3.17), (2.3.18), (2.4.4), (2.4.6), (2.4.8), (2.4.10), (3.1.4) and (3.1.6) below. A general form of such a partition function treated as an integral over a set of long-loop configurations rather than a trace in a Hilbert space is given in Eqns (2.3.17) and (2.3.18).

2.3. The representation for the RDM kernels. Let Λ^0, Λ be finite sets, $\Lambda^0 \subset \Lambda \subset \Gamma$. The construction developed in Section 2.2 also allows us to write a convenient representation for the integral kernels of the RDMs $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ (see Eqn (1.4.9)) and $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$. In accordance with Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ in (1.4.9), the operator $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ acts as an integral operator in $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda^0)$:

$$\left(\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\boldsymbol{\phi}\right)(\mathbf{x}^{*0}) = \int_{M^{*\Lambda^0}} \prod_{j\in\Lambda^0}\prod_{y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)} v(\mathrm{d}y)\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}^{*0}) \tag{2.3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}&(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})\\ &:= \int_{M^{*\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}} \prod_{j\in\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\prod_{z\in\mathbf{z}^*(j)} v(\mathrm{d}z)\mathbf{F}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\\ &:= \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)}{\mathbf{\Xi}(\Lambda)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.2}$$

We employ here and below the notation $\mathbf{x}^{*0}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{*0}$ for particle configurations $\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda^0} = \{\mathbf{x}^*(i),\ i\in\Lambda^0\}$ and $\mathbf{y}^*_{\Lambda^0} = \{\mathbf{y}^*(j),\ j\in\Lambda^0\}$ over Λ^0. Next, $\mathbf{x}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$; $\mathbf{y}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$ denotes the concatenated configurations over Λ.

Similarly, the RDM $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ is determined by its integral kernel $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$ again admitting the representation

$$\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}) := \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\,|\,\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})}{\mathbf{\Xi}(\Lambda)}. \tag{2.3.3}$$

As in [7], we use for $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ the term the RDM kernels (in short, RDMKs). The focus of our interest are the numerators $\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)$ and $\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.2), (2.3.3). To introduce the appropriate representation for these quantities, we need some additional definitions.

Definition 2.9. Repeating (2.2.2)–(2.2.3), symbol $\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}$ denotes the disjoint union $\bigcup\limits_{\gamma^0}\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}$ over matchings γ^0 between $\mathbf{x}^{*0}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{*0}$. Accordingly, element $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}=\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}\in\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},,\gamma^0}$ yields a collection of long paths $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\in\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),\gamma0(x,i)}$ lying in $M\times\Gamma$. Each path $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$ has time-lengths $\beta k_{(x,i),(y,j)}$, begins at (x,i) and ends up at $(y,j)=\gamma^0(x,i)$ where $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$, $y\in\mathbf{y}^*(j)$. Like above, we will use for $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ the term a long-path configuration over Λ^0. Repeating (2.2.8)–(2.2.9), we obtain the measures $\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}$ on $\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}$ and $\mathtt{P}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}$ on $\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}$. ◇

The assertion of Lemma 2.3 below again follows directly from known results, in conjunction with calculations of the partial trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. The meaning of new ingredients in (2.3.3)–(2.3.6) is explained below.

Lemma 2.3. *The quantity* $\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)$ *emerging in* (2.3.2) *is set to be* 0 *when* $\#\mathbf{x}^{*0}\neq\#\mathbf{y}^{*0}$. *On the other hand, for* $\#\mathbf{x}^{*0}=\#\mathbf{y}^{*0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)=&\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}}\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\overline{B}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\\&\times\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\mathbf{1}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\right]\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})=&\int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\\&\times\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.5}$$

Similarly, the quantity $\widehat{\Xi}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\,|\,\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ *from* (2.3.3) *vanishes when*

$\#\mathbf{x}^{*0}\neq\#\mathbf{y}^{*0}$. *For* $\#\mathbf{x}^{*0}=\#\mathbf{y}^{*0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\,|\,\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\\&=\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}}\overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\overline{B}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\\&\qquad\times\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\mathbf{1}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\right]\\&\qquad\qquad\times\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Lambda(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Lambda(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})=&\int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}B(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\alpha_\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\\\times\mathbf{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\exp&\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\right].\end{aligned}\quad .\lhd\tag{2.3.7}$$

Let us define the functionals $\overline{B}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$, $\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$, $\mathbf{1}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})$, $\mathbf{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})$ $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$, $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$, $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ and $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.3)–(2.3.7). (The functionals $B(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ and $\alpha_\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$, are defined as (2.2.15) and (2.2.19), respectively, replacing Λ with $\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0$.)

To this end, let $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}\in\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}$ be a long-path configuration represented by a collection of long paths $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\in\overline{W}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^{*0}(x,i)}$ ($\overline{\Omega}^*_{x,i}$ in short), with end-points (x,i) and $(y,j)=\gamma^0(x,i)$, of time-length $\beta k_{(x,i),(y,j)}$. The functional $\overline{B}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$ is given by

$$\overline{B}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})=\prod_{i\in\Lambda}\prod_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)}z^{k_{(x,i),(y,j)}}.\tag{2.3.8}$$

The functional $\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})$ is again an indicator:

$$\alpha_\Lambda(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})=\begin{cases}1,&\text{if }\ \Omega^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}(\tau)\in M\times\Lambda,\ \forall\, i\in\Lambda,\ x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)\\&\text{and }\ 0\leq\tau\leq\beta k_{(x,i),(y,j)},\\0,&\text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{2.3.9}$$

Now let us define the indicator function $\mathbf{1}(\,\cdot\,\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})$ in (2.3.4)–(2.3.7). The factor $\mathbf{1}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})$ equals one iff every long path $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}$ from $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}$, of time-length $\beta k_{(x,i),(y,j)}$, starting at $(x,i) \in M \times \Lambda^0$ and ending up at $(y,j) = \gamma^0(x,i) \in M \times \Lambda^0$ remains in $M \times (\Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0)$ at the intermediate times βl for $l = 1, \dots, k_{(x,i),(y,j)} - 1$:

$$\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),(y,j)}(l\beta) \notin M \times \Lambda^0 \; \forall \, l = 1, \dots, k_{(x,i),(y,j)} - 1$$

(when $k_{(x,i),(y,j)} = 1$, this is not a restriction).

Furthermore, suppose $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0} = \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}}$ is a long-loop configuration over $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, with the initial/end configuration $\mathbf{x}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0} = \{\mathbf{x}^*(i), i \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0\}$, represented by a collection of long loops $\Omega^*_{x,i}, i \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0, x \in \mathbf{x}^*(i)$. Then $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0} \in \mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0}) = 1$ iff each long loop $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ of time-length $\beta k_{x,i}$, beginning and finishing at $(x,i) \in M \times (\Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0)$, does not enter the set $M \times \Lambda^0$ at times βl for $l = 1, \dots, k_{x,i} - 1$:

$$\Omega^*_{x,i}(l\beta) \notin M \times \Lambda^0 \; \forall \, l = 1, \dots, k_{x,i} - 1$$

(again, if $k_{x,i} = 1$, this is not a restriction).

The functional $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$ in Eqn (2.3.4) gives the energy of the long-path configuration $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ and is introduced similarly to Eqn (2.2.12), *mutatis mutandis*. Next, the functional $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$ in (2.3.5) represents the energy of the long-loop configuration $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$ in the potential field generated by the long-path configuration $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$:

$$\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}) = \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}) + \mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\,|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}). \tag{2.3.10}$$

Here, the summand $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ yields the energy of the long-loop configuration $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$; again cf. (2.2.12). Farther, the term $\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\,|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ yields the energy of interaction between $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$: for a long-path configuration $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} = \{\overline{\Omega}^*_{x,i}\} \in \overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0},\gamma^0}$ and a long-loop configuration $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0} = \{\Omega^*_{x',i'}\} \in W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{h}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\,|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\\ &\quad = \sum_{(i,i')\in\Lambda^0\times(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)} \; \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(i')} \mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\overline{\Omega}^*_{x,i}, \Omega^*_{x',i'}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.11}$$

Here, for a long path $\overline{\Omega}^*_{x,i} = \overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$, of time-length $\beta k_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$, and a long loop $\Omega^*_{x',i'}$, oif time-length $\beta k_{x,i}$,

$$\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\overline{\Omega}^*_{x,i},\Omega^*_{x',i'}) = \displaystyle\sum_{0\leq m<k_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}}\ \sum_{0\leq m'<k_{x',i'}}\\ \quad\displaystyle\times\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Bigg[\sum_{j\in\Gamma} U^{(2)}\Big(u(\tau+\beta m;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}),u(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'})\Big)\\ \qquad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}(\tau+\beta m)=j=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ \qquad\displaystyle+\sum_{j,j'\in\Gamma\times\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta l),u_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\ \qquad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}(\tau+\beta m)=j\neq j'=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\Bigg].\end{array}\tag{2.3.12}$$

Here, in turn, we employ the shortened notation for the positions and indices of the sections $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}(\tau+\beta m)$ and $\Omega^*_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')$ of $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$ and $\Omega^*_{x',i'}$ at times $\tau+\beta m$ and $\tau+\beta m'$, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{c}u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)=u(\tau+\beta m;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}),\ \ l_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m)=l(\tau+\beta m;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}),\\ u_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')=u(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}),\ \ l_{i',x'}(\tau+\beta m')=l(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}).\end{array}$$

Further, the functional $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.6) is determined as in Eqns (2.2.16)–(2.2.18), with $\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$ instead of $\Omega^*_{x,i}$. Next, for $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.7), we set:

$$\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}) = \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}) + \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\,|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}).\tag{2.3.13}$$

Here again, the summand $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ is determined as in (2.2.12). Next, the term $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\,|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})$ is defined similarly to (2.2.17)–(2.2.18):

$$\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\,|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda})\\ \displaystyle=\sum_{(i,i')\in(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)\times\Lambda^0}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(i')}\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},\overline{\Omega}^*_{x',i'})\\ \quad\displaystyle+\sum_{i\in\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\ \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),\overline{x}'\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i')}\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i'))\end{array}\tag{2.3.14}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(x',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},\overline{\Omega}^*_{x',i'}) = \sum_{0\le m<k_{x,i}}\ \sum_{0\le m'<k_{(x',i'),\gamma^0(x',i')}}\\
&\quad\times\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Bigg[\sum_{j\in\Gamma} U^{(2)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m), u_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\
&\quad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\
&\quad+\sum_{(j,j')\in\Gamma\times\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m), u_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\\
&\quad\times\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j\neq j'=l_{x',i'}(\tau+\beta m')\Big)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{h}^{(x,i),(\overline{x}',i')}(\Omega^*_{x,i},(\overline{x}',i'))\\
&\quad=\sum_{0\le m<k_{x,i}}\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau\Bigg[U^{(2)}\Big(u_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m),\overline{x}'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=i'\Big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j\in\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j,i'))V\Big(u_{i,x}(\tau+\beta m),\overline{x}'\Big)\mathbf{1}\Big(l_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m)=j)\Big)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.16}$$

As before, the functionals $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\,|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ have a natural interpretation as energies of long-loop configurations.

Repeating the above observation, non-zero contributions to the integral in (2.3.4) come only from pairs $(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0},\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ such that $\forall\, j\in\Gamma$ and $\tau\in[0,\beta]$, the total number of pairs
$(u(\tau+\beta m;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}), l(\tau+\beta m;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}))$ with $0\le m<k_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}$, $i\in\Lambda^0$ and $x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i)$ incident to the long paths of the configuration $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ and pairs
$(u(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}), l(\tau+\beta m';\Omega^*_{x',i'}))$ with $0\le m'<k_{(x',i')}$, $i'\in\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0$ and $x'\in\mathbf{x}^*(i')$ incident to the long loops of the configuration $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}$ does not exceed κ. Similarly, non-zero contributions to the integral in (2.3.6) come only from pairs $(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0},\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ such that the above inequality holds when we additionally count points $\overline{x}\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j)$.

The integral $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Lambda(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$ defined in (2.3.5) can be considered as a particular (although important) example of a partition function in the volume

$\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0$ with a boundary condition $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$. Note the presence of the subscript Λ indicating that the long-loops contributing to $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$ can jump within volume Λ only (owing to the indicator functional α_Λ). On the other hand, the presence of the indicator functional $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})$ in the integral (reflected in the upperscript Λ^0 and the roof sign in the notation $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$) indicates a particular restriction on the jumps of the long loops, forbidding them to visit set Λ^0 at intermediate times βl. The same can be said about the integral $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.7): it is a particular example of a partition function in the volume $\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0$ with a boundary condition $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}$.

Other useful types of partition functions are $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2}\right)$ where the sets of vertices $\widetilde{\Lambda}$, Λ^0, Γ^0, Γ^1 and Γ^2 satisfy

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}\subset\Gamma^0\subseteq\Gamma,\ \ \Gamma^1,\Gamma^2\subset\Gamma\setminus\widetilde{\Lambda},\ \ \Gamma^1\cap\Gamma^2=\emptyset,\ \ \Lambda^0\subset\Gamma\setminus(\widetilde{\Lambda}\cup\Gamma^1\cup\Gamma^2)$$

and $\#\widetilde{\Lambda},\#\Lambda^0<+\infty$. Accordingly, $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ is a (finite) long-path configuration over Λ^0, $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}$ a (possibly infinite) long-loop configuration over Γ^1 and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2}$ a (possibly infinite) particle configuration over Γ^2. The partition functions $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2}\right)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\right)\\&\quad=\int_{W^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}\,\alpha_{\Gamma^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})\,B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})\,\exp\,\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1})\right]\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Gamma^0}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2}\right)\\&\quad=\int_{W^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}\,\alpha_{\Gamma^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})\,B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}})\,\exp\,\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2})\right]\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.18}$$

with the indicator α_{Γ^0} as in (2.2.19). These partition functions, feature long-loop configurations $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\widetilde{\lambda}}$ formed by long loops $\Omega^*_{x,i}$, $i\in\widetilde{\Lambda}$, which start and finish in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$, are confined to Γ^0 and move in a potential field generated by

$\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}$ where $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}=\{\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\Gamma^1}=\{\Omega^*_{x,i},x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i),i\in\Gamma^1\}$ or $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma^2}$ where $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*=\{\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i),i\in\Gamma^2\}$. (The latter can be understood as the concatenation of the long-loop configuration $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma^1}$ over Γ^1 and the loop configuration over Γ^2 formed by the constant trajectories sitting at points $\overline{x}\in\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(i)$, $i\in\Gamma^2$.) In (2.3.17) we assume that, $\forall\ \tau\in[0,\beta]$ and $j\in\Gamma$, the number

$$\begin{gathered}\#\{(x,i,m):\ i\in\widetilde{\Lambda},l(\tau+m\beta;\Omega^*_{x,i})=j,0\leq m<k_{x,i}\}\\+\#\{(x,i):\ i\in\Lambda^0,l(\tau+m\beta;\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)})=j,0\leq m<k_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\}\\+\#\{(x,i,m):\ i\in\Gamma^1,l(\tau+m\beta;\Omega^*_{x,i})=j,0\leq m<k_{x,i}\}\end{gathered}$$

does not exceed κ. Analogously, in (2.3.18) it is assumed that the same is true for the above number plus the cardinality $\#\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*(j)$.

Such 'modified' partition functions will be used in forthcoming sections.

2.4. The FK-DLR measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ in a finite volume. The Gibbs states $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ give rise to probability measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ on the sigma-algebra $\mathfrak{W}_\Lambda$ of subsets of W^*_Λ. The sigma-algebra $\mathfrak{W}_\Lambda$ is constructed by following the structure of the space W^*_Λ (a disjoint union of Cartesian products); cf. Definition 2.8. The measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ are determined by their Radon–Nycodym derivative p_Λ and $p_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ relative to the measure $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned}p_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda):=&\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda}\\=&\frac{1}{\Xi(\Lambda)}\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\right],\ \ \mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda\in W^*_\Lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{2.4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}p_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda):=&\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda}\frac{1}{\Xi(\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})}\\=&\alpha_\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)B(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda)\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^\Lambda(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})\right],\ \ \mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda\in W^*_\Lambda.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4.2}$$

Given $\Lambda^0\subset\Lambda$, the sigma-algebra $\mathfrak{W}_{\Lambda^0}$ is naturally identified with a sigma-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{W}_\Lambda$; this sigma-subalgebra is again denoted by $\mathfrak{W}_{\Lambda^0}$. The restrictions of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ to $\mathfrak{W}_{\Lambda^0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ are denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda^0}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$; these measures are determined by their Radon–Nikodym derivatives $p_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}) := \dfrac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}}$ and $p^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}) := \dfrac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}}$.

The first key property of the measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ is expressed in the so-called FK-DLR equation. We state it as Lemma 2.5 below; its proof repeats a standard argument used in the classical case for establishing the DLR equation in a finite volume.

Lemma 2.4. *$\forall\ \Lambda^0 \subset \Lambda' \subset \Lambda$, the probability density $p_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ admits the form*

$$p_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\right) = \int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}} q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right) \tag{2.4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right) = \exp&\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\right]\\ &\times\frac{\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)}{\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.4}$$

and the conditional partition functions $\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ and $\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ are determined as in (2.3.17).

Similarly, for $p^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}$ we have:

$$p^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\right) = \int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}} q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}\left(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right) \tag{2.4.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right) = \exp&\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)\right]\\ &\times\frac{\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)}{\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.6}$$

and the conditional partition functions $\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ and $\Xi_\Lambda\left(\Lambda'\middle|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ are determined as in (2.3.17). $\lhd$

As in [7], Eqns (2.4.3) and (2.4.5) mean that the conditional densities $p_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ and $p_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ relative to σ-algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{W}}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}$ coincide, respectively, with $q_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$, and $q_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$, for $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}$- and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}$-a.a. $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\in W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}$ and a.a. $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\in W^*_{\Lambda^0}$.

As in [7], we call the expressions $q_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ and $q_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$, as well as the expressions $\widehat{q}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ and $\widehat{q}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ appearing below, the (conditional) RDM functionals (in brief, the RDMFs). The same name will be used for the quantity $q_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ from Eqns (3.1.3)–(3.1.4) and the quantity $\widehat{q}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ from Eqns (3.1.5)–(3.1.6).

The second property is that the RDMKs $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$ are related to the measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda'}}$. Again, the proof of this fact is done by inspection.

Lemma 2.5. *The RDMK* $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$ *is expressed as follows:* $\forall\ \Lambda^0\subset\Lambda'\subset\Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})=&\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}}\overline{\mathbb{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\alpha_{\Lambda}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\overline{\mathrm{B}}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\mathbf{1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0}\right)\\ &\times\int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\widehat{q}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.4.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{q}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)=&\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\right]\\ &\times\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)}{\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda'\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4.8}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})=&\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}}\overline{\mathbb{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\alpha_{\Lambda}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\overline{\mathrm{B}}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\mathbf{1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0}\right)\\ &\times\int_{W^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\widehat{q}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\Big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.4.9}$$

where

$$\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right) = \exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)\right] \times\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)}{\mathbf{\Xi}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda'\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)}. \tag{2.4.10}$$

Here the partition functions $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\right)$ *and* $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ *are determined in (2.3.5) and (2.3.7).* $\triangleleft$

Remark 2.3. Summarizing above observations, the measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Lambda$ and $\mu_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma'\setminus\Lambda}}$ are concentrated on the subset in W^*_Λ formed by long-loop configurations $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda$ such that $\forall\ \tau\in[0,\beta]$, the section $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_\Lambda(\tau)$ has $\leq\kappa$ particles at each vertex $i\in\Lambda$.

3. The class of Gibbs states $\mathfrak{G}$ for the Fock space model

3.1. Definition of class $\mathfrak{G}$. In this section we apply the idea from [7] to define the class of states $\mathfrak{G}$ for the model introduced in Section 2 and state a number of results. As in [7], the definition of a state $\varphi \in \mathfrak{G}$ is based on the notion of an FK-DLR probability measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on the space W^*_Γ; the class of these measures will be also denoted by $\mathfrak{G}$.

Definition 3.1. Space W^*_Γ is the (infinite) Cartesian product $\underset{i\in\Gamma}{\times} W^*_{\{i\}}$ (cf. Eqn (2.2.5)); its elements are long-loop configurations $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_\Gamma = \{\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i),\ i \in \Gamma\}$ over Γ. A component $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i)$ is a finite long-loop configuration (possibly, empty), with an initial/final particle configuration $\mathbf{x}^*(i) \subset M$. Formally, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i)$ is a finite collection of loops $\Omega^*_{x,i}$, of time-length $\beta k_{x,i}$ where $k_{x,i} = 1, 2, \ldots$, starting and finishing at a point $(x, i) \in M \times \Gamma$. For reader's convenience, we repeat Eqn (2.1.1) for the case under consideration:

$$\begin{array}{c}\Omega^*_{x,i} :\ \tau \in [0, \beta k_{x,i}] \mapsto \Big(\widetilde{x}\big(\Omega^*_{x,i},\tau\big), \widetilde{i}\big(\Omega^*_{x,i},\tau\big)\Big) \in M \times \Gamma,\\ \Omega^*_{x,i}\ \text{ is cádlág; } \Omega^*_{x,i}(0) = \Omega^*_{x,i}(\beta k_{x,i}-) = (x, i),\\ \Omega^*_{x,i}\ \text{ has finitely many jumps on } [0, \beta k_{x,i}];\\ \text{if a jump occurs at time } \tau \text{ then } \mathtt{d}\Big[\widetilde{i}\big(\Omega^*_{x,i}, \tau-\big), \widetilde{i}\big(\Omega^*_{x,i}, \tau\big)\Big] = 1.\end{array} \qquad (3.1.1)$$

By $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ we denote the σ-algebra in W^*_Γ generated by cylindrical events. Given a subset $\overline{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma$ (finite or infinite), we denote by $\mathfrak{W}^{\overline{\Gamma}} = \mathfrak{W}^{\overline{\Gamma}}_\Gamma$ the σ-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{W}$ generated by cylindrical events localized in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Given a probability measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_\Gamma$ on $(W^*_\Gamma, \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma)$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\overline{\Gamma}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\overline{\Gamma}}_\Gamma$ the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathfrak{W}^{\overline{\Gamma}}$. $\diamond$

Definition 3.2. The class $\mathfrak{G}$ under consideration is formed by measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ which satisfy the following equation: $\forall$ finite $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ and $\Lambda^0 \subseteq \Lambda$, the probability density

$$p^{\Lambda^0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\right) = p^{\Lambda^0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\right) := \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Lambda^0}_\Gamma\left(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\nu}\left(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\right)},\ \ \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0} \in W^*_{\Lambda^0}, \qquad (3.1.2)$$

is of the form

$$p^{\Lambda^0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda^0}\right) = \int_{W^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}} q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right) \qquad (3.1.3)$$

where

$$q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right) = \exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\right] \times\frac{\Xi_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\vee\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)}{\Xi_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)} \tag{3.1.4}$$

and the conditional partition functions $\Xi_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\vee\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ and $\Xi_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ are determined as in (2.3.17). $\diamond$

As in [7], Eqn (3.1.3) means that the conditional density $p^{\Lambda^0|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$, relative to σ-algebra $\mathfrak{W}^{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}$, coincides with $q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$, for $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}_\Gamma$-a.a. $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\in W^{*\beta}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\Lambda^0}$-a.a. $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*0}\in W^*_{\Lambda^0}$.

Remark 3.1. The measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\Gamma$ inherits the property from Remark 2.3 and is concentrated on the subset in W^*_Γ formed by (infinite) long-loop configurations $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_\Gamma$ such that $\forall\ \tau\in[0,\beta]$, the section $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_\Lambda(\tau)$ has $\leq\kappa$ particles at each vertex $i\in\Lambda$.

Given a measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathfrak{G}$, we associate with it a normalized linear functional $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on the quasilocal C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$. First, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}) = &\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}}\overline{\mathbb{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\overline{\mathrm{B}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\mathbf{1}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0}\right)\\ &\times\int_{W^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\mathbf{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0})\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.5}$$

where

$$\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right) = \exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)\right] \times\frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)}{\Xi_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\big|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)}. \tag{3.1.6}$$

This defines a kernel $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$, $\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}\in M^{*\Lambda^0}$, where $\Lambda^0\subset\Gamma$ is a finite set of sites. It is worth reminding the reader of the presence of the indicator functionals $\mathbf{1}\left(\ \cdot\ \in\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda^0}\right)$ in (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) (in the integral for $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_\Gamma\left(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$). These indicators guarantee the compatibility property: $\forall$ finite $\Lambda^0 \subset \Lambda^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})\\ &\quad=\int_{M^{*\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0}}\prod_{j\in\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0}\prod_{z\in\mathbf{z}^*(j)}v(\mathrm{d}z)\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^1}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{z}^*_{\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1.7}$$

Next, we identify the operator $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ (a candidate for the RDM in volume Λ^0) as an integral operator acting in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^0}$ by

$$\left(\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}\boldsymbol{\phi}\right)(\mathbf{x}^{*0})=\int_{M^{*\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}^{*0})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}^{*0}.\tag{3.1.8}$$

Eqn (3.1.7) implies that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda^1\setminus\Lambda^0}}\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^1}=\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}.$$

Definition 3.3. The functional $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in\mathfrak{G}$ is identified with the (compatible) family of operators $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$. If the operators $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ are positive definite (a property that is not claimed to be automatically fulfilled), we again call it an FK-DLR state in the infinite volume (for given values of activity z and inverse temperature β). To stress the dependence on z and β, we sometimes employ the notation $\mathfrak{G}(z,\beta)$. $\diamond$

3.2. Theorems on existence and properties of FK-DLR states. We are now in position to state results about class $\mathfrak{G}$. We assume the conditions on the potentials $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ from the previous section, including the hard-core condition for $U^{(1)}$.

Theorem 3.1. *$\forall$ $z,\beta\in(0,+\infty)$, any limiting Gibbs state $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in\mathfrak{G}^0$ (see Theorem* 1.1*) lies in $\mathfrak{G}$. Therefore, the class of states $\mathfrak{G}$ is non-empty.* $\triangleleft$

Theorem 3.2. *$\forall$ $z,\beta\in(0,+\infty)$, any FK-DLR state $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in\mathfrak{G}$ is $\mathtt{G}$-invariant, in the sense that, $\forall$ finite $\Lambda^0\subset\Gamma$ and $\forall$ $\mathtt{g}\in\mathtt{G}$, the RDM $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ satisfies* (1.5.2)*. Consequently, Eqn* (1.5.3) *holds true.* $\triangleleft$

As in [7], the invariance property can be formally extended to ground states. We call a state $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ (of C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$) is a ground state (for a given value $z>0$) if there exists a sequence of states $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n\in\mathfrak{G}(z,\beta_n)$ with $\beta_n\to\infty$

such that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \mathrm{w}^* - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n$. (The w*-convergence of states is again defined in a standard way; cf. [1].)

Corollary 3.3. *Any ground state* $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ *(i.e., a* w**-limiting point of states* $\varphi_n \in \mathfrak{G}(z, \beta_n)$*) obeys* (1.5.3). $\triangleleft$

4. Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 3.1.–3.3

4.1. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 3.1. The proof is based on the same approach as that used in [7]. First, given $\Lambda^0 \subset \Gamma$, we establish compactness of the sequence of the RDMKs $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ (see (2.3.2)–(2.3.7)) as functions of variables $\mathbf{x}^{*0} = \{\mathbf{x}^{*0}(i)\}, \mathbf{y}^{*0} = \{\mathbf{y}^{*0}(i)\} \in M^{*\Lambda^0}$, with

$$\#\mathbf{x}_\Lambda^{*0} = \#\mathbf{x}_\Lambda^{*0} \ \text{ and } \ \#\mathbf{x}^{*0}(i), \#\mathbf{y}^{*0}(i) < \kappa, \ \ i \in \Lambda,$$

when $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$. Then we use Lemma 4.1 from [7] to derive that the sequence of the RDMs $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}$ is compact in the trace-norm operator topology in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda^0}$.

To verify compactness of the RDMKs $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ we, again as in [7], use the Ascoli–Arzela theorem, which requires the properties of uniform boundedness and equicontinuity. These properties follow from

Lemma 4.1. (i) *The RDMKs* $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ *and* $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ *admit the bounds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0}),\ \mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0}) \\ &\quad \leq \big[(\kappa\#\Lambda^0)!\big] (\widehat{p}_M)^{\kappa\#\Lambda^0} \exp\Big[(\#\Lambda^0)\kappa\beta\big(\overline{U}^{(1)} + \kappa\overline{U}^{(2)} + \kappa\overline{J}(1)\overline{V}\big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.1}$$

where the constant $\widehat{p}_M$ *has been determined in* (2.1.7) *and the upper bounds* $\overline{U}^{(1)}$, $\overline{U}^{(2)}$, $\overline{J}(1)$ *and* $\overline{V}$ *in* (1.3.1), (1.3.2) *and* (1.3.5).

(ii) *The gradients of the RDMKs* $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ *and* $\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})$ *satisfy:* $\forall\ i \in \Lambda$ *and* $x \in \mathbf{x}^{*0}(i)$, $y \in \mathbf{y}^{*0}(i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\nabla_x \mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})\Big|,\ \Big|\nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{0}, \mathbf{y}^{0})\Big| \\ &\quad \Big|\nabla_y \mathbf{F}_\Lambda^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{*0}, \mathbf{y}^{*0})\Big|,\ \Big|\nabla_y \mathbf{F}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\overline{\Gamma}\setminus\Lambda}}^{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{x}^{0}, \mathbf{y}^{0})\Big| \\ &\qquad \leq (\#\Lambda^0)\widehat{p}_M \big[(\kappa\#\Lambda^0)!\big] (\widehat{p}_M)^{\kappa\#\Lambda^0} \Big[\kappa\beta\big(\overline{U}^{(1)} + \kappa\overline{U}^{(2)} + \kappa\overline{J}(1)\overline{V}\big)\Big] \\ &\qquad \times \exp\Big[(\#\Lambda^0)\kappa\beta\big(\overline{U}^{(1)} + \kappa\overline{U}^{(2)} + \kappa\overline{J}(1)\overline{V}\big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.2}$$

with the ingredients $\widehat{p}_M$ *and* $\overline{U}^{(1)}$, $\overline{U}^{(2)}$, $\overline{J}(1)$ *and* $\overline{V}$ *as in statement* (i).$\lhd$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Bound (4.1.1) is established in a direct fashion. First, we majorize the energy

$$\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})=\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\,|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$$

contributing to the RHS in (2.3.4) and (2.3.5) and the energy

$$\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}\vee\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})=\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\,|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$$

contributing to the RHS in (2.3.6) and (2.3.7). This yields the factor

$$\exp\Big[(\#\Lambda^0)\kappa\beta\big(\overline{U}^{(1)}+\kappa\overline{U}^{(2)}+\kappa\overline{J}(1)\overline{V}\big)\Big].$$

Next, we majorize the integral $=\displaystyle\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}}\overline{\mathbb{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$ in (2.3.4) and (2.3.6); this gives the factor

$$(\#\Lambda^0)\widehat{p}_M\big[(\kappa\#\Lambda^0)!\big](\widehat{p}_M)^{\kappa\#\Lambda^0}\Big[(\#\Lambda^0)\kappa\beta\big(\overline{U}^{(1)}+\kappa\overline{U}^{(2)}+\kappa\overline{J}(1)\overline{V}\big)\Big].$$

The aftermath are the ratios (2.3.2) and (2.3.3) with $\mathbf{x}^{*0}=\mathbf{y}^{*0}=\emptyset$; they do not exceed 1.

Passing to (4.1.2), let us discuss the gradients ∇_x only. (The gradients in the entries of ∇_y are included by symmetry.) The gradient in (4.1.2), of course, affects only the numerators $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0};\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0\,|\,\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda})$ in (2.3.2) and (2.3.3). The bounds (4.1.2) are done essentially as in [7]. For definiteness, we discuss the case of the RDMK $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0})$; the RDMK $\mathbf{F}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}(\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0)$ is treated similarly. There are two contributions into the gradient: one comes from varying the measure $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})$, the other from varying the functional
$\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\Big]$.

The first contribution can again be uniformly bounded in terms of the constant $\widehat{p}_M$. The detailed argument, as in [7], includes a deformation of a trajectory and is done similarly to [7] (the presence of jumps does not change the argument because $\widehat{p}_M$ yields a uniform bound in (2.1.7)).

The second contribution yields, again as in [7], an expression of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}} \overline{\mathtt{P}}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}(\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}) \sum_{i\in\Lambda^0} \sum_{x\in\mathbf{x}^{*0}(i)} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{x,i}(\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})\\ \times\exp\left[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}) - \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0}|\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0})\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.1.3}$$

where the functional $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{x,i}(\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda^0})$ is uniformly bounded. Combining this an upper bound similar to (4.1.1) yields the desired estimate for the gradients in (4.1.2). $\square$

Hence, we can guarantee that the RDMs $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Lambda|\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}}$ converge to a limiting RDM $\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}$ along a subsequence in $\Lambda \nearrow \Gamma$. The diagonal process yields convergence for every finite $\Lambda^0 \subset \Gamma$. By construction, and owing to Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, the limiting family $\{\mathbf{R}^{\Lambda^0}\}$ yields a state belonging to the class $\mathfrak{G}$. This completes the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 3.1. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 3.2. The assertion of Theorem 1.2 in included in Theorem 3.2. Therefore, we will focus on the proof of the latter. The proof based on the analysis of the conditional RDMFs $q^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\middle|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ and $\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\middle|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda}\right)$ introduced in Eqn (3.1.4) and (3.1.5). For definiteness, we assume that vertex $o \in \Lambda^0$, so that Λ^0 lies in the ball Λ_n for n large enough. As in [7], the problem is reduced to checking that $\forall\ z, \beta \in (0,\infty)$, $\mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}$ and finite $\Lambda^0 \subset \Gamma$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\left(\mathtt{g}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\middle|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\right)}{\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}\middle|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\right)} = 1; \tag{4.2.1}$$

here we need to establish this convergence (4.2.1) uniformly in the argument $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} = \{\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i), i \in \Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)\}$ with $\#\boldsymbol{\Omega}^*(i) \leq \kappa$ and in $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}$ outside a set of the $\overline{\mathtt{P}}^{*\beta}_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}$-measure tending to 0 as $n \to \infty$. The latter is formed by long-path configurations $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0}$ that contain trajectories visiting sites $i \in \Gamma\setminus\Lambda(\overline{r}(n))$ where $\overline{r}(n)$ grows with n; see Lemma 4.2 below. The action of $\mathtt{g}$ upon a long-path configuration $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0} = \{\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}, i \in \Lambda^0, x \in \mathbf{x}^{*0}\}$ is defined by

$$\mathtt{g}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{*0} = \{\mathtt{g}\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\}\ \text{ where }\ \left(\mathtt{g}\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}\right)(\tau) = \mathtt{g}\left(\overline{\Omega}^*_{(x,i),\gamma^0(x,i)}(\tau)\right).$$

We want to establish that $\forall\ a \in (1,\infty)$, for any n large enough, the conditional RDMFs satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}a\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathtt{g}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}) + a\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathtt{g}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})&\\ \geq 2\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}).&\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.2}$$

As in [7], we deduce (4.2.2) with the help of a special construction of 'tuned' actions $\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ on long-loop configurations $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ (over which there is integration performed in the numerators
$\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma}\Big(\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\mathtt{g}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{\Xi}}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma}\Big(\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0\big|\mathtt{g}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)$
in the expression for $\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathtt{g}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})$ and $\widehat{q}^{\Lambda^0}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathtt{g}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})$).
The tuning in $\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ is chosen so that it approaches $\mathtt{e}$ (or the d-dimensional zero vector in the additive form of writing), the neutral element of $\mathtt{G}$, while we move from Λ^0 towards $\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)$.

Formally, (4.2.2) follows from the estimate (4.2.3) below: $\forall$ finite $\Lambda^0\subset\Gamma$, $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\in\overline{W}_\Lambda(n)$, $\mathtt{g}\in\mathtt{G}$ and $a\in(1,\infty)$, for any n large enough, $\forall\ \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} = \{\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i), i\in\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0\}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} = \{\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i), i\in\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)\}$ with $\#\mathbf{\Omega}^*(i)\leq\kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{a}{2}\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}((\mathtt{g}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\vee(\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0})|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})\Big]\\ &\quad+\frac{a}{2}\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}((\mathtt{g}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0})\vee(\mathtt{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0})|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})\Big]\\ &\qquad\geq\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.3}$$

In (4.2.3), the long-loop configuration $\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ is determined by specifying its temporal section $\{\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\Omega^*_{x,i}(\tau+\beta m), i\in\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0, x\in\mathbf{x}^*(i), 0\leq m<k_{x,i}\}$. That is, we need to specify the sections

$$\big(u(\tau+\beta m;\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\Omega^*_{x,i}), l(\tau+\beta m;\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\Omega^*_{x,i})\big)$$

for long loops $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ constituting $\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$. To this end we set:

$$\begin{aligned}u(\tau+\beta m;\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\Omega^*_{x,i}) = \mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j u(\tau+\beta m;\Omega^*_{x,i})\\ \text{if}\ \ l(\tau+\beta m;\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\Omega^*_{x,i}) = j.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.4}$$

In other words, we apply the action $\mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j$ to the temporal sections of all long loops $\Omega^*_{x,i}$ located at verrex j at a given time, regardless of position of their initial points (x,i) in $\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0$.

Observe that (4.2.2) is deduced from (4.2.3) by integrating in $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ and normalizing by $\Xi_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})$; cf. Eqn (2.3.4) with $\Lambda' = \Lambda(n)$. (The Jacobian of the map $\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \mapsto \mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ equals 1.)

Thus, our aim becomes to prove (4.2.3). The tuned family $\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ is composed of individual actions $\mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j \in \mathtt{G}$:

$$\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} = \{\mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j,\ j \in \Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0\}. \tag{4.2.5}$$

Elements $\mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j$ are powers (multiples, in the additive parlance) of element $\mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}$ figuring in (4.2.1)–(4.2.3) (respectively, of the corresponding vector $\underline{\theta} \in M$; cf. Eqn (1.2.1)) and defined as follows. More precisely, let $\underline{\theta}^{(n)}_j$ denote the vector from M corresponding to $\mathtt{g}^{(n)}_j$. We select positive integer values $\overline{r}(n) = \lceil \log(1+n)\rceil$ and set:

$$\underline{\theta}^{(n)}_j = \underline{\theta}\,\upsilon(n,j) \tag{4.2.6}$$

where

$$\upsilon(n,j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathtt{d}(o,j) \le \overline{r}(n), \\ \vartheta\big(\mathtt{d}(j,o) - \overline{r}(n), n - \overline{r}(n)\big), & \mathtt{d}(o,j) > \overline{r}(n). \end{cases} \tag{4.2.7}$$

In turn, the function ϑ is chosen to satisfy

$$\vartheta(a,b) = \mathbf{1}(a \le 0) + \frac{\mathbf{1}(0<a<b)}{Q(b)}\int_a^b z(u)\mathrm{d}u,\quad a,b \in \mathtt{R}, \tag{4.2.8}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{l} Q(b) = \displaystyle\int_0^b \zeta(u)\mathrm{d}u \sim \log\log b, \\ \qquad \text{where } \zeta(u) = \mathbf{1}(u \le 2) + \mathbf{1}(u>2)\dfrac{1}{u\ln u},\ b>0. \end{array} \quad \square \tag{4.2.9}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Given $z, \beta \in (0,\infty)$ and a finite set Λ^0, there exists a constant $C \in (0,\infty)$ such that $\forall\ \mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{y}^0 \in M^{*\Lambda^0}$ the set of long-path configurations $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} \in \overline{W}^*_{\mathbf{x}^{*0},\mathbf{y}^{*0}}$ with $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}) < +\infty$ which include trajectories* *visiting points in* $\Gamma \setminus \Lambda(\overline{r}(n))$ *has the* $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^{\,*}_{\mathbf{x}^0,\mathbf{y}^0}$*-measure that does not exceed* $\dfrac{C}{\left(\lceil (1+r(n))\rceil\right)!}$.$\lhd$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. The condition that $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}) < +\infty$ implies that the total number of sub-trajectories of length β in $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}$ does not exceed $\kappa \times \#\Lambda^0$ which is a fixed value in the context of the lemma. Each such trajectory has a Poisson number of jumps; this produces the factor $1/\left(\lceil (1+r(n))\rceil\right)!$. $\square$.

Back to the proof Theorem 3.2: let $\mathsf{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ be the collection of the inverse elements:

$$\mathsf{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} = \left\{ \mathsf{g}_j^{(n)^{-1}},\ j \in \Lambda(n) \setminus \Lambda^0 \right\}.$$

The vectors corresponding to $\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)^{-1}}$ are $-\underline{\theta}_j^{(n)} \in M$. We will use this specification for $\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)}$ and $\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)^{-1}}$ for $j \in \Lambda(n)$, or even for $j \in \Gamma$, as it agrees with the requirement that $\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)} \equiv \mathsf{g}$ when $j \in \Lambda^0$ and $\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)} \equiv \mathsf{e}$ for $j \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda(n)$. Accordingly, we will use the notation $\mathsf{g}_{\Lambda(n)} = \{\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)}, j \in \Lambda(n)\}$.

Observe that the tuned family $\mathsf{g}_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}$ does not change the contribution into the energy functional $\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}$ coming from potentials $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$: it affects only contributions from potential V.

The Taylor formula for function V, together with the above identification of vectors $\underline{\theta}_j^{(n)}$, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| V\left(\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)}x, \mathsf{g}_{j'}^{(n)}x'\right) + V\left(\mathsf{g}_j^{(n)^{-1}}x, \mathsf{g}_{j'}^{(n)^{-1}}x'\right) - 2V(x,x')\right| \\ &\qquad \leq C\,|\underline{\theta}|^2 \left|v(n,j) - v(n,j')\right|^2 \overline{V}\,, x, x' \in M. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.9}$$

Here $C \in (0,\infty)$ is a constant, $|\underline{\theta}|$ stands for the norm of the vector $\underline{\theta}$ representing the element g and the value $\overline{V}$ is taken from (1.3.1).

Next, the square $|\upsilon(n,j)-\upsilon(n,j')|^2$ can be specified as

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\upsilon(n,j)-\upsilon(n,j')|^2\\
&=\begin{cases}
0, \ \text{ if } \ \mathtt{d}(j,o),\mathtt{d}(j',o)\leq \overline{r}(n),\\
0, \ \text{ if } \ \mathtt{d}(j,o),\mathtt{d}(j',o)\geq n,\\
\big[\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j,o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))\\
\quad -\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j',o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))\big]^2,\\
\qquad \text{if } \ \overline{r}(n)<\mathtt{d}(j,o),\mathtt{d}(j',o)\leq n,\\
\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j,o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))^2,\\
\qquad \text{if } \ \overline{r}(n)<\mathtt{d}(j,o)\leq n, \mathtt{d}(j',o)\in]\overline{r}(n),n[,\\
\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j',o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))^2,\\
\qquad \text{if } \ \overline{r}(n)<\mathtt{d}(j',o)\leq n, \mathtt{d}(j,o)\in]\overline{r}(n),n[.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.11}$$

By using convexity of the function exp and Eqn (4.2.10), $\forall\ a>1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{a}{2}\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}\Big(\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)}\big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\big)\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)\Big]\\
&\quad+\frac{a}{2}\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}\Big(\mathtt{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)}\big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\big)\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)\Big]\\
&\geq a\exp\Bigg[-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big(\mathtt{g}_{\Lambda(n)}\big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\big),\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}\Big(\mathtt{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)}\big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\big)\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)\Bigg]\\
&\geq a\exp\Big[-\mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)}\Big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}\vee\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}\big|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}\Big)\Big]e^{-C\Upsilon/2}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.12}$$

where

$$\Upsilon=\Upsilon(n,\mathtt{g})=\beta\kappa^2\sum_{(j,j')\in\Lambda(n)\times\Gamma}J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\,|\upsilon(n,j)-\upsilon(n,j')|^2.\tag{4.2.13}$$

The next observation is that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Upsilon\leq 3\beta\kappa^2|\underline{\theta}|^2\sum_{(j,j')\in\Lambda(n)\times\Gamma}\mathbf{1}\big(\mathtt{d}(j,o)\leq\mathtt{d}(j',0)\big)J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\\
&\qquad\times\Big[\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j,o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))-\vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j',o)-\overline{r}(n),n-\overline{r}(n))\Big]^2
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.14}$$

where, owing to the triangle inequality, for all $j, j' : \mathtt{d}(j,o) \le \mathtt{d}(j',o)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \le \vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j,o) - \overline{r}(n), n - \overline{r}(n)) - \vartheta(\mathtt{d}(j',o) - \overline{r}(n), n - \overline{r}(n)) \\
\le \mathtt{d}(j,j') \frac{\zeta(\mathtt{d}(j,o) - \overline{r})}{Q(n - \overline{r}(n))}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.15}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon &\le \beta\kappa^2 \frac{3|\underline{\theta}|^2}{Q(n-\overline{r}(n))^2} \sum_{(j,j')\in\Lambda(n)\times\Gamma} J(\mathtt{d}(j,j'))\mathtt{d}(j,j')^2 \zeta(\mathtt{d}(j,0) - \overline{r}(n))^2 \\
&\le \frac{3|\underline{\theta}|^2}{Q(n-\overline{r}(n))^2} \Big[\sup_{j\in\Gamma} \sum_{j'\in\Gamma} J_{j,j'} \mathtt{d}(j,j')^2 \Big] \sum_{j\in\Lambda_{n+r_0}} \zeta(\mathtt{d}(j,0) - \overline{r}(n))^2
\end{aligned}
$$

where function ζ is determined in (4.2.9).

Therefore, it remains to estimate the sum $\sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_{n+r_0}} \zeta(\mathtt{d}(j,0) - \overline{r}(n))^2$. To this end, observe that $u\zeta(u) < 1$ when $u \in (3, \infty)$. The next remark is that the number of sites in the sphere Σ_n grows linearly with n. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j\in\Lambda_{n+r_0}} \zeta(\mathtt{d}(j,o) - \overline{r}(n))^2 &= \sum_{1\le k\le n+r_0} \zeta(k - \overline{r}(n)) \sum_{j\in\Sigma_k} \zeta(k - \overline{r}(n)) \\
&\le C_0 \sum_{1\le k\le n+r_0} \zeta(k - \overline{r}(n)) \le C_1 Q(n + r_0 - \overline{r}(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Upsilon \le \frac{C}{Q(n - \overline{r}(n))} \to \infty, \quad \text{as } \ n \to \infty.
$$

Therefore, given $a > 1$ for n large enough, the term $ae^{-C\Upsilon/2}$ in the RHS of (4.2.14) becomes > 1. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{a}{2} \exp \Big[- \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)} \Big(\mathfrak{g}_{\Lambda(n)} \big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} \vee \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \big) \big| \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} \Big) \Big] \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{2} \exp \Big[- \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)} \Big(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}_{\Lambda(n)} \big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} \vee \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \big) \big| \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} \Big) \Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \ge \exp \Big[- \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda(n)} \Big(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} \vee \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \big| \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} \Big) \Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.16}
$$

Eqn (4.2.16) implies that the quantity

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\Lambda^0|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)} (\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} | \mathbf{\Omega}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}) &= \int_{W_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \\
&\times \frac{\exp \big[- \mathbf{h}^{\Lambda^0} (\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0} \vee \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Lambda(n)\setminus\Lambda^0} \big| \mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda^0}) \big]}{\Xi_{\Lambda(n)} (\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.17}
$$

obeys

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big[q_\beta^{\Lambda^0|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathrm{g}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}) + q_\beta^{\Lambda^0|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\mathrm{g}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})\Big]\\ \geq 2\lim_{n\to\infty} q_\beta^{\Lambda^0|\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{*0}|\mathbf{\Omega}^*_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.18}$$

uniformly in boundary condition $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}$. Integrating (4.2.18) in $\mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma^{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\Gamma\setminus\Lambda(n)})$ yields (4.2.3). $\Box$

The proof of Corollary 3.3 goes along the same line as that of ??? from [7]. It does not require a new argument and we omit it from the paper.

Acknowledgement. This work has been conducted under Grant 2011/20133-0 provided by the FAPESP, Grant 2011.5.764.45.0 provided by The Reitoria of the Universidade de São Paulo and Grant 2012/04372-7 provided by the FAPESP. The authors express their gratitude to NUMEC and IME, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil, for the warm hospitality.

References

[1] O. Bratteli, D. Robinson. Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Vol. I: C^*- and W^*-Algebras. Symmetry Groups. Decomposition of States; Vol. II: Equilibrium States. Models in Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[2] R. L. Dobrushin and S. B. Shlosman. Absence of breakdown of continuous symmetry in two-dimensional models of statistical physics. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **42**, 1975, 30-40

[3] J. Fröhlich and C. Pfister. On the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking and of crystalline ordering in two-dimensional systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **81**, 1981, 277–298

[4] H.-O. Georgii, Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1988

[5] J. Ginibre. Some applications of functional integration in statistical mechanics. In: *Statistical mechanics and quantum field theory* (C.M. DeWitt, R. Stora (eds)). Gordon and Breach, 1973, pp. 327- 428.

[6] D. Ioffe, S. Shlosman, Y. Velenik. 2D models of statistical physics with continuous symmetry: the case of singular interactions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **226** 2002, 433–454.

[7] M.Kelbert and Y.Suhov. A Mermin–Wagner theorem for quantum Gibbs states on 2D graphs. arXiv:1206.1229

[8] N.D. Mermin, H. Wagner, H. Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **17** 1966, 1133–1136.

[9] C.-E. Pfister. On the symmetry of the Gibbs states in two-dimensional lattice systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **79** (1981), 181–188

[10] M. Reed, B. Simon. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. Vol. I: Functional Analysis, Academic Press, 1972; Vol. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, 1975; Vol. IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, 1977.

[11] T. Richthammer. Two-dimensional Gibbsian point processes with continuous spin symmetries. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **115**, 2005, 827–848

[12] T. Richthammer. Translation invariance of two dimensional Gibbsian point processes. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **274**, 2007, 81–122

[13] T. Richthammer. Translation invariance of two dimensional Gibbsian systems of particles with internal degrees of freedom. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **119**, 2009, 700-736

[14] K.-I. Sato. Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions. Cambridge University Press, 2011

[15] B. Simon. Functional Integration and Quantum Physics. Academic Press, New York, 1979

[16] B. Simon. The Statistical Mechanics of Lattice Gases. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.

[17] B. Simon, A. Sokal. Rigorous entropy-energy arguments. *Journ. Stat. Phys.*, **25** (1981), 679–694

[18] Yu. M. Suhov, Existence and regularity of the limit Gibbs state for one-dimensional continuous systems of quantum statistical mechanics. *Soviet Math.* (Dokl.), **11 (195)**, 1970, 1629–1632